

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner objects to the form of the abstract. Applicants have amended the abstract to address the Examiner's concerns.


The Examiner rejects claims 1-61 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,425,126, specifically claims 1, 11, 21, 30, 38. and 50 contain the parallel subject matter as in patented claims 1-3. A Terminal Disclaimer is attached.

In view of the foregoing comments and amendments, the Applicants respectfully submit that all of the pending claims (i.e., claims 1-61) are in condition for allowance and that the application should be passed to issue. The Examiner is urged to call the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number if, in the Examiner's opinion, such a phone conference would expedite or aid in the prosecution of this application.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on


April 22, 2005

(Date of Deposit)


Debra A. Peterson

Respectfully submitted,

By:


Steven W. Roth, Attorney
Attorney Reg. No.: 34,712
IBM Corporation, Dept 917
3605 Highway 52 North
Rochester, MN 55901-7829
Telephone: (507) 253-1600